

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08/349479

 SERIAL NUMBER
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED APPLICANT
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/349, 479
 12/02/94
 BORDER
 W PLA1245

023601 HM22/0514 CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP 4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE : 7TH FLOOR SAN DIEGO CA 92122 EXAMINER

GAMBEL, P

ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER

16 444 7 |

DATE MAILED:

05/14/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☐ THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	•	_			
a) 📗 i	s extended to run	or continues to run	MUNUTURE from the date of	of the final rejection		
	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
T F	Any extension of time must be obtained The date on which the response, the pe ourposes of determining the period of ex 1.17 will be calculated from the date of t	tition , and the fee have to xtension and the correspo	een filed is the date of the responding amount of the fee. Any	oonse and also the date for the extension fee pursuant to 37 CF		
Appe	ellant's Brief is due in accordance with 3	37 CFR 1.192(a).	•			
	icant's response to the final rejection, file ace the application in condition for allow		as been considered with the fo	ollowing effect, but it is not deem	ed	
1. 🔲 T	he proposed amendments to the claim	and /or specification will i	ot be entered and the final rej	ection stands because:		
а	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.					
b	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
c	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
d	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
е	e. They present additional claims with	thout cancelling a corresp	onding number of finally reject	ed claims.		
N	NOTE:					
•					_	
					_	
	lewly proposed or amended claims he non-allowable claims.	would t	e allowed if submitted in a sep	arately filed amendment cancelli	ng	
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:						
			PI	accip sampa		
	Claims allowed: Claims objected to:			IILLIP GAMBE L, PH.D		
c	Claims rejected: 21-23, 25			RIMARY EXAMINER 1600	>	
	However;			Shol ol		
	Applicant's response has overcome	the following rejection(s)			_	
4. Z	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconfold THE ILASONS OF DENOMINE TO SHOW	sideration has been cons るれり (ろ(/13) ことへにおれるか	idered but does not overcome JEXHIB (T) CONSID DILLOSE NOCE (1479)	the rejection because ETICH) UCTION OF PRACTY	- 	
5. 🔲 T	he affidavit or exhibit will not be conside presented. PUOU TO 12/22 3COPE OF CLAIMED か	ered because applicant had 198 BATE OF NETHODS AND	is not shown good and sufficer DASCHETAL. WI PRO (VANTE)	it reasons why it was not earlier		
☐ The pr	roposed drawing correction 日 has [THENF APPEAR 5 か BE . LANGUINO 132 DEC				مدرر	
	AND SCAPLING.	. 10 (44) (5)	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		~»\)	